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## How can Birchbox become the leading subscription beauty box?

## What Are the Key Issues?

Low barriers of entry - the clone wars

High customization costs

Unsatisfactory customer conversion rate

## What Are Your Objectives?

## Increase the barriers of entry

## Increase profit margin

## How Does Birchbox Fit with the Clones?



## WOW Consulting

## What Is Recommended For You?

Introduce
high-end
products

## Increase attraction with random full-size selection

## How Are You Currently Positioned?

Analysis
Alternatives Recommendation

Implementation
Financials
Risks

## Strengths: Ability to collect valuable marketing data

## Birchbox

## Opportunities:

High potential in high-end products (less competition, more loyalty, greater perceived value)

## Weaknesses:

Low conversion rate to online sales
Operations are expensive and not optimized

## What Are Your Customer Segments?

|  | Women |  | Men |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income (\$) | $0-50,000$ | $50,000+$ | $0-50,000$ | $50,000+$ |
| Product use | Functional | Passion driven | Functional | Exploratory |
| Brand loyalty | Low | High | Low | High |
| Age | $0-20$ | $20+$ | $0-25$ | $25+$ |

Customers look for different benefits from the subscription boxes

## What Environment Are You In?

Analysis $>$ Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $\rangle$ Financials $\gg$ Risks


## Substitutes

- Beauty Stores
- Drugstores
- Department stores
- Door-to-door sales
- eCommerce


## Competitors

- Sephora Play
- Glossbox
- Ipsy
- Preloot
- Fit.Fab.Fun


## What Environment Are You In?

Analysis $>$ Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $>$ Fisks


## Barriers to Entry

- Partnership with brands
- Subscribers


## Buyer Power

- Leverage data
- Introduce new products to customers
- Gain customer feedback on a large scale


## What Environment Are You In?

Analysis $>$ Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $\rangle$ Financials $\gg$ Risks


## Supplier Power

- Many independent brands
- New competition in women's cosmetics and men's skincare
- High-end brands are very restrictive about who can carry their products

Depending on the product range, supplier power varies greatly

## What Are Your Options?

Analysis $>$ Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $>$ Financials $\gg$ Risks

| Sustainability of business model |
| :--- |
| Barriers to entry |
| Additional products sourced |
| Growth capacity |

## What Exactly Are We Recommending?

## Introduction of high-end production with the surprise element from random selection will make subscription more viable on its own

## How Can You Keep Your Customers?

# Introduce randomness factor based on successful freemium model 

Tap into natural human attraction to surprises

A limited number of subscription boxes will contain full-size luxury product

## How Can You Get Customers On Board?

## Subscribing to the loyalty program will increase lottery odds

## Customers can redeem points to further increase odds

Sharing on social media \#BirchboxWinner will earn bonus points

## How Do You Orchestrate This?

Hire a team of developers

Exploit your unique database to create the optimal randomness model

Continuously improve the algorithm for new products

## What Else Do You Need?

High-end partnership to increase perceived value of the subscription boxes

Tom Ford, Yves Saint-Laurent, Chanel, Cle de Peau, Dior

## Who Should You Target?

|  | Women |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income (\$) | $50,000+$ | $50,000+$ |
| Product use | Passion driven | Exploratory |
| Brand loyalty | High | High |
| Age | $20+$ | $25+$ |

The new business model will help you focus on these customers with higher conversion rate

## How Does Your Product Range Change?

## Mid-Range

- \$10
- Mid-range and drugstore deluxe samples
- 1 in 300 chance of winning


## High-End

- \$25
- Mid-range and high-end deluxe samples
- 1 in 100 chance of winning


## How Will You Promote Your New Model?



## Who Are You Hiring?

- Partnership manager for high-end products
- 1 Freemium model algorithm specialist
- 1 Data Analyst
- 4 Engineers
- 1 Lead engineer

Gradually increase production workforce to meet growing demand

## How Will You Implement The Plan?

Analysis Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $>$ Financials $\rangle$ Risks

|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Influencers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertisements |  |  |  |  |  |
| IT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Algorithm improvement |  |  |  |  |  |
| HR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation optimization |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-end brand partnership |  |  |  |  |  |

## Financial Projections (in million of dollars)



WOW Consulting

## Sources of Revenue

Analysis Alternatives $>$ Recommendation $>$ Implementation $>$ Financials $>$ Risks


- Subscriptions : 60\% last year, 90\% projected in 2021
- Online sales : 35\% last year, $10 \%$ projected in 2021
- Stores sales : 5\% last year, 0\% projected in 2021


## Subscribers (in million)

Analysis
$>$ Alternatives $>$ Recommendation

Alternatives $>$ Recommendation
Implementation
Financials
Risks

Number of subscribers
Transition from old to new model


WOW Consulting

## Budget (in thousand of dollars)

| Analysis | Alternatives |  | Recommendation |  |  | Implementation | Financials |  | Risks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |  | New Partners |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adwords | 12,000 | 12,000 | 10,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | Director - High-End Brands | 80 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 87 |
| Social media | 8,000 | 6,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | Representatives (2) | 100 | 102 | 104 | 106 | 108 |
| Influencers | 27,000 | 27,000 | 24,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 | Travel \& other expenses | 150 | 153 | 156 | 159 | 162 |
|  | 47,000 | 45,000 | 39,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 |  | 330 | 337 | 343 | 350 | 357 |
| Machine Learning |  |  |  |  |  | Total costs | 48,050 | 46,056 | 40,077 | 34,098 | 34,120 |
| Lead engineer | 200 | 204 | 208 | 212 | 216 | As a \% of total sales | 38\% | 23\% | 12\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Engineers (4) | 300 | 306 | 312 | 318 | 325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Data analyst (2) | 200 | 204 | 208 | 212 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Financing - Investment |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 720 | 719 | 733 | 748 | 763 | Automation |  |  |  |  | 100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cash Flow |  | 50,000 |  |  |  |

## What Should You Watch Out For?

| Analysis $\quad$ Alternatives | > Recommendation | Implementation $>$ | Financials $>$ | Risks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risks | Contingency | Mitigation | Likelihood | Impact |
| Unable to secure highend brands | Reach out to more brands than necessary | Lower the subscription box price <br> Keep the random draw | Medium | High |
| Customers unsatisfied with product offerings | Continuously pull customers feedback | Satisfaction guarantee or money back | Low | Medium |
| More clones with the new business model | Unique random algorithm | Secure exclusive agreements with brands | High | Medium |
| Current markets not sufficient to sustain growth | Expand gradually into new markets | Acquire similar clones in other markets | Medium | Low |

## What Are Your Takeaways?

Increase the barriers of entry

Increase profit margin

Maintain customer relationships

Introduction of high-end production with the surprise element from random selection will make subscription more viable on its own

## Assumptions

Pro Forma Income Statement - Status Quo (in thousands)
$2016 \quad 2017 \quad 2018 \quad 2019$
20202021

| Revenues | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Suscriptions | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| COGS | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
|  | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 | 53 |
| Online sales | 44 | 48 | 52 | 56 | 60 | 64 |
| COGS | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | 28 | 31 | 34 | 36 | 39 | 42 |
| Physical stores | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| COGS | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ |


| Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Labor | 40 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 |
| Warehouses | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Stores | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Offices | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Shipping | 24 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 |
| IT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Marketing | 20 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 31 |
| SG\&A | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | 112 | $\mathbf{1 1 5}$ |
| EBIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -9 | -13 | -14 | -13 | -13 | -11 |
| Interest expense | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EBT | -11 | -15 | -16 | -14 | -14 | -12 |
| Taxes (20\%) | -2 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -3 | -2 |
| Net income | -9 | -12 | -13 | -11 | -11 | -10 |
| Net income (\%) | $-6.9 \%$ | $-9.4 \%$ | $-9.3 \%$ | $-7.8 \%$ | $-7.5 \%$ | $-6.5 \%$ |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Pro Forma Income Statement - High-End (in thousands) } \\ 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 & 2020 & 2021\end{array}$

| Revenues | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Suscriptions | 75 | 151 | 285 | 500 | 605 | 666 |
| COGS | $30 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
|  | 53 | 99 | 183 | 305 | 363 | 400 |
| Online sales | 44 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 70 |
| COGS | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | 28 | 33 | 36 | 39 | 42 | 46 |
| Physical stores | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COGS | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Labor | 40 | 60 | 78 | 94 | 108 | 100 |
| Warehouses | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 15 | 20 |
| Stores | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Offices | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Shipping | 24 | 40 | 68 | 112 | 134 | 147 |
| IT | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Marketing | 20 | 47 | 45 | 39 | 33 | 33 |
| SG\&A | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 94 | 158 | 205 | 262 | 295 | 305 |
| EBIT | -9 | -24 | 13 | 82 | 111 | 140 |
| Interest expense | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| EBT | -11 | -26 | 11 | 81 | 110 | 139 |
| Taxes (20\%) | -2 | -5 | 2 | 16 | 22 | 28 |
| Net income | -9 | -21 | 9 | 65 | 88 | 111 |
| Net income (\%) | -6.9\% | -10.3\% | 2.6\% | 11.6\% | 13.1\% | 15.1\% |

## Assumptions

Number of subscribers (in million)
20162017201820202021

| Subscribtions - Status quo | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Subscriptions - High-End | 0.75 | 1.13 | 1.46 | 1.76 | 2.02 | 2.22 |
| High-End Model | 0.75 | 0.53 | 1.09 | 1.61 | 2.02 | 2.22 |
| Old Model | 0.75 | 0.60 | 0.38 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

